

China vs. Brazil: The Inflation/Growth Dilemma

Claudio Brocado and Ray Prasad are senior portfolio managers on Batterymarch's Emerging Markets team, specializing in Latin America and Asia, respectively.

Since as far back as 2004, investor sentiment toward China has swung between fear of economic overheating and concerns about a hard landing. The reality is somewhere in the middle, with enough economic growth in China to instill envy in other parts of the world, though not enough to generate serious inflationary pressures. This benign environment is largely the result of the government's success in managing the nation's economic cycle (a task that will become more challenging as the economy transitions to a more capitalistic, free-market model).

In early 2011, during the early stages of the Chinese monetary tightening process, the market justifiably focused on inflation. Led by food prices, the inflation rate peaked at 6.5% in July. While inflation remains a concern, the fact that it has already reached a peak shows that policymakers have accomplished their key objective of keeping it in check by toning down economic growth. As they approach the end of the tightening cycle, the key question is whether they have tightened too much, making a hard landing more likely. The aggressive tightening in China, in contrast to developed markets, gives officials plenty of room to implement easing measures to avert a hard landing—which in China's case is often defined as 7% economic growth. However, we don't believe that significant easing measures will be necessary.

Chinese officials appear to want the economy to glide down a more sustainable path of long-term growth, perhaps permanently. The economy has been growing at approximately 10% annually for some time, and we expect it to shift down to a medium growth rate of about 8% before eventually moderating to 6% or so over the longer term. Meanwhile, the government is in the process of engineering a soft landing, with a goal of an 8.5% annual growth rate.

Economic growth and inflation have also taken center stage in Brazil, one of China's major trading partners. Brazilian officials have taken a different approach, with less tolerance of a short-term economic slowdown for the sake of controlling inflation. The Brazilian bank has cut interest rates twice since August in part because of global economic weakness.

We don't expect the Chinese central bank to start cutting interest rates until inflation has dropped below 5%, making any meaningful monetary easing unlikely, although we do anticipate some measures to address liquidity issues relating specifically to small to midsize enterprises. Brazil, on the other hand, has already embarked on a multi-meeting easing phase, on the heels of its last tightening

move. We do not see this as the beginning of a global trend that China is likely to follow. Brazilian officials have chosen, at the margin, to risk their fight against inflation in order to ensure that their economy does not slow down significantly.

From an investment standpoint—looking beyond the differences in government priorities—we see attractive companies in both China and Brazil. China ranks well in our country model, and we continue to increase our exposure there. The Chinese urbanization process should continue for many years, and the government remains committed to sustaining long-term economic growth and development. While Chinese stocks have a meaningful upside, and are trading at a discount to other emerging markets, our investment process also favors resource-rich Brazil and Latin America in general, which should continue to benefit from China’s huge appetite for commodities.

The industry composition of the Brazilian equity market, somewhat perversely, may better reflect the raw-material needs of China rather than Brazil. In fact, indices like the Bovespa and the MSCI Brazil are so heavily tilted toward commodity producers that they can actually offer a better, though indirect, China urbanization play than China itself. This underscores the value of bottom-up, stock-by-stock analysis in identifying the most promising opportunities, regardless of their location.

NOTE: This document has been prepared and issued by BatteryMarch Financial Management, Inc. (BatteryMarch) for informational purposes only. Except where otherwise noted, all information is sourced from BatteryMarch. In certain instances, BatteryMarch has prepared the information and opinions contained herein using data from external sources believed to be reliable, but which are not guaranteed by BatteryMarch as to their timeliness or accuracy and which are subject to change without notice. This document may include forward-looking statements, which are based on current opinions, expectations and projections. BatteryMarch undertakes no obligation to update or revise any information, opinions or forward-looking statements should they change. This document does not constitute a recommendation to buy or sell any security or product. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated. Index providers (e.g., Russell Investments, MSCI Barra and FTSE) are the sources and owners of the index data contained or reflected in this document and all trademarks and copyrights related thereto. The MSCI indices shown are Standard indices. This is BatteryMarch's presentation of the underlying index data. Data may include a redefinition of sector and regional groupings in accordance with BatteryMarch's unique classifications. The index providers are not responsible for the formatting or configuration of this material or for any inaccuracy in presentation thereof.

All investments involve risk, including loss of principal and there is no guarantee that investment objectives will be met. Investors cannot invest directly in an index. Foreign securities, where permitted, are subject to the additional risks of fluctuations in foreign exchange rates, changes in political and economic conditions, foreign taxation, and differences in auditing and financial standards. These risks are magnified in the case of investments in emerging markets.

